	E9OZ		10230 I AC DOCUME	int 33 Thea I	0/03/14 Tage 1 01 /	1	
1 2	SOUT	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORKx					
3	UNIT	ED STATES	OF AMERICA,		New York, N.Y.		
4		v.			13 CR 290 (PAC)		
5	CHRI LEE,	STINA CHAI	and HI JONG				
6		Defendants.					
7				-x			
8							
9					September 24, 2014 9:00 a.m.		
10	Befo	Before:					
11	HON. PAUL A. CROTTY,						
12					District Judge		
13							
14		APPEARANCES					
15	PREE	PREET BHARARA United States Attorney for the					
16	BY:		District of New				
17		DANIEL TE Assistant	CHRANI United States	Attorneys			
18	BRAF	BRAFMAN & ASSOCIATES, P.C.					
19	BY:		for Defendant	Chai			
20		JACOB KAF	PLAN				
21	SERC.	SERCARZ & RIOPELLE Attorneys for Defendant Lee					
22	BY:	<del>-</del>					
23	Also	Also Present: Robert Polimeno, DEA					
24			Nicholas Evert ARIEN GREENE-P	, Paralega			
25			KYCONG SILK SO				

E90ZCHA

```
1
               (In open court; jury not present)
 2
               1:50 p.m.
 3
               THE DEPUTY CLERK: All rise.
 4
               THE COURT: Please be seated.
 5
               What I intend to do is call the jury in at 2:00
      o'clock and excuse them, tell them we'll resume our
6
 7
      deliberations on Friday at 9:30 in the morning.
8
               MR. AGNIFILO: That's fine, Judge.
9
               MR. RIOPELLE: Thank you, your Honor.
10
               MR. KOBRE: Thank you, Judge.
11
               THE COURT:
                          Mr. Riopelle, with regard to your proposed
12
      charge and the Allen charge, if we get to that.
13
               MR. RIOPELLE:
                             Yes.
14
               THE COURT: I'm going to give the charge that I
      distributed earlier. It's based on the language of the United
15
      States against Henry in the Second Circuit.
16
17
               MR. RIOPELLE: I understand.
               THE COURT: Almost in haec verba.
18
19
               MR. RIOPELLE: There's one portion of that charge that
20
      I would -- and I understand your Honor's intention -- there is
21
      one portion of that charge that I would ask the Court to
22
      remove, and that is the invitation to the jury to return a
23
     partial verdict.
24
                           In that connection, I want to call to your
               THE COURT:
25
      attention two cases, and I'd appreciate whatever suggestions
```

E90ZCHA

you have. United States of America against Klein, which is decided in 1978, 582 Fed. 2d, 186, and United States of America against McQueen, 596 Fed. 2d, 76, both of which deal with the issue about the Court's inquiry after an extended period of time, sometimes with and sometimes without a notion that the — or a note from the jury saying that they're deadlocked. It allows the judge to ask questions on exactly where you stand, have you reached a verdict, can you reach a verdict, we'll take a partial verdict.

MR. RIOPELLE: Yes.

THE COURT: So that's what I want you to think about.

MR. AGNIFILO: Can I just get the page number on McQueen again, Judge? That's the second one.

THE COURT: McQueen, is 596 Fed. 2d, 76.

MR. AGNIFILO: 76, thank you, Judge.

THE COURT: It's decided in -- they're older cases.

They're decided in -- McQueen was decided in 1979, and the

Klein case was decided in 1978.

MR. RIOPELLE: And what was the citation on Klein again, your Honor?

THE COURT: On Klein? 582 Fed. 2d, 186.

MR. RIOPELLE: I'll look at those cases, Judge. I have had a couple of situations in my day where the court has made an inquiry about a partial verdict.

I can tell you what my experience has been on that,

but I certainly will read these cases and get back to your.

On the two occasions when it's happened during my career, the court simply asked the jury a question and asked them to respond to it in writing; do you have a verdict as to either defendant on any count. And then if that comes back yes, the court then instructs the jury, you may return that verdict that you have, if you wish to, but if you do so it is final and you may not revisit it.

THE COURT: I'm not thinking of doing this without hearing from you first.

MR. RIOPELLE: Yeah, and that I'm telling --

THE COURT: The question will be posed to the jury in writing, and with a response in writing.

MR. RIOPELLE: Yeah. And that's my experience as to how it's been done on the two times it's been done when I've been a litigant.

THE COURT: Now the government's been silent. So if you want to make a suggestion, I'd appreciate that as well.

MR. TEHRANI: Yes, Judge.

MR. KOBRE: Yes, Judge.

MR. AGNIFILO: Your Honor, I'll read the two cases.

And in the meantime since we have a day off, I'll also read the -- Sand has instructions and annotations on this as well.

THE COURT: Yes.

E90ZCHA

1	MR. AGNIFILO: So I'll make sure that I'm prepared					
2	with that.					
3	THE COURT: The instructions are at page 9-8.					
4	MR. AGNIFILO: Correct.					
5	THE COURT: If you're only able to reach a verdict					
6	concerning some of the defendants or some of the counts, you					
7	may return a verdict concerning those defendants.					
8	I have that language too.					
9	MR. AGNIFILO: Right. I guess the event that seems to					
10	have not happened quite yet is that the jury has indicated some					
11	inability to					
12	THE COURT: Correct.					
13	MR. AGNIFILO: agree. So we don't know what the					
14	future holds in that regard, so.					
15	THE COURT: Right. I don't think it's appropriate					
16	that I say anything now.					
17	MR. AGNIFILO: I agree with you.					
18	THE COURT: I don't intend to say anything.					
19	MR. AGNIFILO: No, I understand.					
20	THE COURT: Other than you're excused, come back on					
21	Friday.					
22	MR. AGNIFILO: I understand, I understand. Thank you,					
23	Judge.					
24	MR. RIOPELLE: And I would only say that the couple					
25	times this has come up, the two cases that have been cited in					

my experience in this process are a case called United States against Dilapi, D-i-l-a-p-i, which is at 651 F.2d, 140, so it's pretty close in time to U.S. against Klein. And there's also one United States against Dolah, which is a recent one I think, 245 F.3d, 98. So those might be worth looking at too.

THE COURT: Okay, I'll look at those.

Anything else to take up?

MR. AGNIFILO: Nothing from us, Judge. Thank you.

MR. RIOPELLE: No, Judge.

MR. TEHRANI: No, your Honor.

THE DEPUTY CLERK: All rise.

(Jury entering)

1:57 p.m.

THE COURT: Please be seated.

Ladies and gentlemen, as I told you yesterday, we're going to break a little bit early today because some of us are going to be observing the Jewish holiday Rosh Hashanah, which starts at sundown today. We won't sit tomorrow in observance of the holiday. But we'll resume our deliberations on Friday morning at 9:00 or 9:30, whenever you get here.

So I hope you have a nice day and a half off, and keep your minds open. Resume your discussions and your deliberations on Friday when you return.

If you come in at 9:00 o'clock, that would be fine. We'll see you then, thank you very much.

```
E90ZCHA
               THE DEPUTY CLERK: All rise.
1
2
               (In open court; jury not present)
 3
               MR. RIOPELLE: Thank you, your Honor.
 4
               MR. AGNIFILO: Thank you, Judge.
               THE COURT: Anything else?
5
6
               MR. KOBRE: Thank you.
 7
               MR. AGNIFILO: No. Thank you, Judge.
8
               THE COURT: See you on Friday morning.
9
               MR. RIOPELLE: Yes, Judge.
10
               (Adjourned to September 26th, 2014 at 9:00 a.m.)
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```